

## **CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application No. 10/829,126 is being electronically transmitted to Technology Center 3763, via EFS-WEB, on August 4, 2009.

/William R. Allen/  
William R. Allen, Reg. No. 48,389

August 4, 2009  
Date

## **IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicants:	Henry DiGregorio et al.	Confirmation No.:	6492
Serial No.:	10/829,126		
Filed:	April 21, 2004		
Art Unit:	3763		
Examiner:	Theodore J. Stigell		
Title:	<b>SYRINGES AND METHODS OF SYRINGE MANUFACTURING</b>		
Atty Docket No.:	NOR-1176		

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

August 4, 2009

## **RESPONSE TO OFFICE COMMUNICATION**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Paper responds to the Office Communication mailed on July 16, 2009. In the July 16, 2009 Office Communication, Applicants have been requested to “provide arguments as to why the cited prior art does not anticipate or render obvious the limitations of new independent claim 32”. In view of the following remarks to address the omission identified by the Examiner in the July 16, 2009 Office Communication and the remarks/amendments presented in their Response filed on May 8, 2009, Applicants submit that this application is in complete condition for allowance in this regard.